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## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we consider the spline-on-spline technique for calculating the derivative of a function from its values on a uniform mesh. There is computational evidence that this yields better results than the traditional process using a single spline [1]. Dolezal and Tewarson [2] have recently obtained error bounds for spline-on-spline interpolation.

The aim of this paper is to derive new consistency relations between a cubic spline and a cubic spline-on-spline interpolant of its first derivative, and to furnish asymptotic error estimates for the interpolation. For any integer $n \geqslant 1$, let $A_{n}: 0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=1$ denote a uniform partition of $I=[0,1]$ with knots $x_{i}=i h$.

Given a sufficiently smooth function $f(x)$ defined on $I$, let $s$ be an interpolatory cubic spline of $f$ and $p$ a cubic spline-on-spline interpolant of $s^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime}, & i=0(1) n, \\
p_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime}, & i=0(1) n \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

where $s_{t}=s(i h)$ and $s_{i}^{\prime}=s^{\prime}(i h)$. Then the following appraisal of the "discretization error" can be found in [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant(1 / 60) \sum_{i}^{2} 3^{2} \quad 4 f^{(4+i)} \| h^{2+i}+\cdots, \quad i=0(1) n . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper we shall derive a sharp asymptotic error estimate:
(ii)

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left(h^{2} / 12\right) f_{i}^{(4)}-\left(h^{4} / 360\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+O\left(h^{6}\right),  \tag{i}\\
& f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{2} / 90\right) f_{i}^{(6)}-\left(h^{6} / 756\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{7}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for any mesh point $x_{i}$ distinct from the endpoints.
Using the asymptotic expansion (3)(i), Richardson-type extrapolation gives an $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ second derivate estimate without recourse to the cubic spline-on-spline technique:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-(1 / 3)\left\{4 s_{h 2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-s_{h}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}=\left(h^{4} / 1440\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+\cdots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any mesh point $x_{i}$ distinct from the endpoints, where $s_{h}(x)$ and $s_{h 2}(x)$ are cubic spline interpolants of $f$ with uniform mesh sizes $h$ and $h / 2$, respectively. On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{h i 2}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left(h^{4} / 1440\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+\cdots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any mesh point $x_{i}$ distinct from the endpoints.
Since the principal parts of the asymptotic expansions (4) and (5) are the same, the cubic spline-on-spline interpolation gives about the same estimate as the extrapolation method. As for computational effort, we have to solve two linear systems of orders $n$ and $2 n$ to determine $s_{h}$ and $s_{h i 2}$ in the extrapolation. In the spline-on-spline technique, the coefficient matrices for determining $s_{h / 2}$ and $p_{h / 2}$ are exactly the same and so $p_{h / 2}$ is determined with little additional effort. Hence we are justified using the cubic spline-on-spline technique instead of the extrapolation method.

## 2. Consistency Relations and Asymptotic Error Estimates

Since $s$ and $p$ depend upon $n+3$ parameters, two additional conditions (which are usually taken near the endpoints) are required for the determination of the splines $s$ and $p$. For choices of these conditions, see Table 1 in [4].

Here we take them to be the homogeneous end ones:
(ii)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{\prime} s_{0}^{\prime}=\nabla^{\prime} s_{n}^{\prime}=0,  \tag{i}\\
& \Delta^{r} p_{0}^{\prime}=\nabla^{r} p_{n}^{\prime}=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r$ is a nonnegative integer and $A(\nabla)$ is the forward (backward) difference operator. By repeated use of the consistency relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 / 6)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}+4 s_{i}^{\prime}+s_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)=(1 / 2 h)\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i} \quad 1\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the above end condition (6)(i) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}^{\prime}+a_{r} s_{1}^{\prime}=L_{r}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \cdots, s_{r}\right), \quad r \neq 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{r}$ is a rational number and $L_{r}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \cdots, s_{r}\right)$ is a linear combination of $s_{i}, i=O(1) r$.

For $a_{r}$, by a simple calculation we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{3}\right)=(0,-1,5), \\
a_{r+1}=\left(5 a_{r}-1\right) /\left(a_{r}+1\right), \quad r=3,4, \cdots, \\
\lim _{r \rightarrow x} a_{r}=2+\sqrt{3} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

We remark that the coefficients for determining $s_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}$ are exactly the same under the end conditions (6).

Now we prove the following consistency relation:
Theorem 1. Let $p$ be a cubic spline-on-spline interpolant of the derivate of a cubic spline $s$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1 / 36)\left(p_{i+2}^{\prime}+8 p_{i+1}^{\prime}+18 p_{i}^{\prime}+8 p_{i-1}^{\prime}+p_{i-2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(1 / 4 h^{2}\right)\left(s_{i+2}-2 s_{i}+s_{i, 2}\right) \quad i=2(1) n-2 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By making use of the consistency relation (7) and interpolation condition (1)(ii), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1 / 6)\left(p_{i+1}^{\prime}+4 p_{i}^{\prime}+p_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=(1 / 2 h)\left(p_{i+1}-p_{i-1}\right) \\
& \quad=(1 / 2 h)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i-1}^{\prime}\right), \quad i=1(1) n-1 . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p_{i+2}^{\prime}+8 p_{i+1}^{\prime}+18 p_{i}^{\prime}+8 p_{i-1}^{\prime}+p_{i-2}^{\prime}=\left(p_{i+2}^{\prime}+4 p_{i+1}^{\prime}+p_{i}^{\prime}\right)+$ $4\left(p_{i+1}^{\prime}+4 p_{i}^{\prime}+p_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)+\left(p_{i}^{\prime}+4 p_{i-1}^{\prime}+p_{i-2}^{\prime}\right)$, by (11) and (7), we have the desired relation.

Next we shall prove the following asymptotic error estimates:
Theorem 2. Under the end conditions (6), let p be a cubic spline-onspline interpolant of $s^{\prime}$. Then
(i) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left(h^{2} / 12\right) f_{i}^{(4)}-\left(h^{4} / 360\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+O\left(h^{\min (6 . r-1)}\right)$,
(ii) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{4} / 90\right) f_{i}^{(6)}-\left(h^{6} / 756\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{\min (7, r-1)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n$.

Kershaw's technique [3] gives

Corollary. For any integer $r \geqslant 0$, we have the above asymptotic expansions with $O\left(h^{6}\right)$ and $O\left(h^{7}\right)$ instead of $O\left(h^{\min (6, r-1)}\right)$ and $O\left(h^{\min (7, r-1)}\right)$, respectively, for any mesh point $x_{i}$ distinct from the endpoints.

Proof of Theorem 2. First we prove the asymptotic expansion (12)(ii). Since $s_{i}=f_{i}, i=0(1) n$, by virtue of (7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1 / 6)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}+4 s_{i}^{\prime}+s_{i}^{\prime},\right) \\
& \quad=f_{i}^{\prime}+\left(h^{2} / 6\right) f_{i}^{(3)}+\left(h^{4} / 120\right) f_{i}^{(5)}+\left(h^{6} / 5040\right) f_{i}^{(7)}+\cdots, \quad i=1(1) n-1 . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting $e(x)=f(x)-\left(h^{4} / 180\right) f^{(4)}(x)+\left(h^{6} / 1512\right) f^{(6)}(x)-s(x)$ by Taylor series expansion we have
(i) $A^{\prime} e_{0}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{\prime}\right)$,
(ii) $(1 / 6)\left(e_{i, 1}^{\prime}+4 e_{i}^{\prime}+e_{i}^{\prime}, \quad\right)=O\left(h^{8}\right), \quad i=1(1) n-1$.
(iii) $\nabla^{r} e_{n}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{r}\right)$.

By repeated use of (14)(ii), conditions (14)(i) and (iii) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } r \neq 2 \text { : } \\
& e_{0}^{\prime}+a_{r} e_{1}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{\min (8, r)}\right), \quad e_{n}^{\prime}+a_{r} e_{n, 1}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{\min (8, r)}\right),  \tag{15}\\
& \text { for } r=2 \text { : } \\
& e_{1}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad e_{n}^{\prime} \quad 1=O\left(h^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By applying a similar argument $[4,5]$ to a system of linear equations (15) and (14)(ii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{\min (8, r)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{4} / 180\right) f_{i}^{(5)}-\left(h^{6} / 1512\right) f_{i}^{(7)}+O\left(h^{\min (8, r)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11) and (17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1 / 6)\left(p_{i+1}^{\prime}+4 p_{i}^{\prime}+p_{i}^{\prime} \quad 1\right)=f_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\left(h^{2} / 6\right) f_{i}^{(4)}+\left(h^{4} / 360\right) f_{i}^{(6)} \\
& \quad-\left(h^{6} / 15120\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{\min (7, r} \quad{ }^{1)}\right), \quad i=1(1) n-1 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using again a similar argument for a system of equations (18), together with (6)(ii), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{4} / 90\right) f_{i}^{(6)}-\left(h^{6} / 756\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{\min (7 . r}{ }^{1)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove the asymptotic expansion (12)(i). The following consistency relations at the endpoints are well known:

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 / 3)\left(2 s_{0}^{\prime \prime}+s_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\left(2 / h^{2}\right)\left(s_{1}-s_{0}\right)-(2 / h) s_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{i}\\
(1 / 3)\left(2 s_{n}^{\prime \prime}+s_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\left(2 / h^{2}\right)\left(s_{n}-s_{n-1}\right)+(2 / h) s_{n}^{\prime} \tag{ii}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $e(x)=f(x)-\left(h^{2} / 12\right) f^{(2)}(x)+\left(h^{4} / 360\right) f^{(4)}(x)-s(x)$, by (17) $(i=0$ and $n$ ) and (20) we have
(i) $\quad(1 / 3)\left(2 e_{0}^{\prime \prime}+e_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{\min (6, r-1)}\right)$,
(ii) $\quad(1 / 6)\left(e_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}+4 e_{i}^{\prime \prime}+e_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{6}\right), \quad i=1(1) n-1$,
(iii) $(1 / 3)\left(2 e_{n}^{\prime \prime}+e_{n}^{\prime \prime} \quad i\right)=O\left(h^{\min (6, r-1)}\right)$.

Using again a similar argument yields the desired asymptotic expansion (12)(i).

Now let us denote by $q$ a cubic spline-on-spline interpolant of the derivate of the cubic spline $p$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1 / 216)\left(q_{i+3}^{\prime}+12 q_{i+2}^{\prime}+51 q_{i+1}^{\prime}+88 q_{i}^{\prime}+51 q_{i-1}^{\prime}+12 q_{i-2}^{\prime}+q_{i-3}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\left(1 / 8 h^{3}\right)\left(f_{i+3}-3 f_{i+3}+3 f_{i} \quad-f_{i-3}\right), \quad i=3(1) n-3 . \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

Theorem 3. If $\Delta^{\prime} q_{0}^{\prime}=\nabla^{r} q_{n}^{\prime}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{(3)}-q_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{4} / 60\right) f_{i}^{(7)}+O\left(h^{\min (6, r-2)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{(3)}-q_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{4} / 60\right) f_{i}^{(7)}+\cdots \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any mesh point $x_{1}$ distinct from the endpoints.
By combining the Corollary of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we obtain a uniform norm estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \leqslant x \leqslant \beta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)-q(x)\right|=O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad h \rightarrow 0, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta(0<\alpha<\beta<1)$ are constant independent of $h$.

TABLE I

|  | $e^{\prime}$ |  |  | $e^{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $k_{2}(x)$ | $k_{3}(x)$ |  | $k_{2}(x)$ | $k_{3}(x)$ |
| 1.4 | 1.00 | 1.05 |  | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| $1 / 2$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3.4 | 1.00 | 1.07 |  | 0.99 | 1.13 |

## 3. Numerical Examples

The results of some computational experiments are given in Table I for the functions $e^{x}$ and $e^{5 x}$. We choose $n=32$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{6} s_{0}^{\prime}=\nabla^{6} s_{n}^{\prime}=0, \quad \Lambda^{6} p_{0}^{\prime}=\nabla^{6} p_{n}^{\prime}=0 \quad \Lambda^{6} q_{0}^{\prime}=\nabla^{6} q_{n}^{\prime}=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{2}(x)=\left\{f^{\prime \prime}(x)-p^{\prime}(x)\right\} /\left\{\left(h^{4} / 90\right) f^{(6)}(x)\right\}, \\
& k_{3}(x)=\left\{f^{(3)}(x)-q^{\prime}(x)\right\} /\left\{\left(h^{4} / 60\right) f^{(7)}(x)\right\} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (12)(ii) and (24), $k_{2}(x)$ and $k_{3}(x)$ tend to 1 for any fixed mesh point $x \in(\alpha, \beta)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.
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